G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
G713-9-15, 37, 62+65
G713-17-39+52
G710-20-28+37.

1922.

G 7 File No. 3-21

Serial Nos. 28, 44, 57, 58, 59, 60, 64.

Later references.
G3-21-67+69
G2-7-23-116-129, 134+139.
G-8-24-7-12-16+17
Cf. 17. 26. 92, 122
Cf. 7-28-2-8

Preserve
27.11.22

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

P.44
E

Serial Nos. 8.

Part B for May 1922

SUBJECT.

Sanction of Govt for certain permanent promotions in the grade of Superintendents of the Comptroller's Office.

To the Comptroller no. H 5179/17-5-22

Directs to treat the apptt of Mr. K. Narayana Rao as S.P.T. IV. grade Supdt in the vacancy from 1.5.20 to 1.7.21, the date from which permanent arrangements in the above vacancy have been ordered

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

2nd
25-5-22

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(SA)

NOTES.

File No. 322/

FILE HEADING.

Establishment of the Comptroller's office

From

The Comptr.

No. 836/2059 R. Dated 23.11.14. Received 25.11.14.

Diary No. 2586	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 28

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests sanction of Govt. for certain permanent promotions in the Grade of Superintendents of this office.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

SA

A separate statement giving the alternative proposals is put up as ordered on the P.W.D.

2/ On the vacancy of Mr. D. M. Lakshmiah Mr. G. N. Sreenivasiengar is proposed to be promoted to Rs 125/- Mr. D. Ramanujiengar is his

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G7 File No. 3 of 1921.

Serial No.

Senior and has been exempted from passing the S.A.S. exam. ~~thus~~ in so flagged W for the special recommendation made by the competent in his letter d 28th Sept 1920 flag V.

5 Subd. for orders

3 Sri. K. Ranjindrah has been exempted from passing the S.A.S. exam. it is also Senior to G.V. Srinivasa Iyengar.

Sd/- 26.11.21. M.K. Srinivasa Iyengar has made a representation received today. Please peruse and note on it.

28/11 Mr can keep it with 15

Linked to this 29.11.21

5 The case is linked

Sd/- 3.12. 3: The first question that has to be decided is whether the promotions in the industrial section should be reserved to officials who have been working in that section: It has been held on more than one occasion that until the subds of the other sections are fully qualified to take up the work of the industrial section, the promotions in that section should be restricted to the officials in that section who have qualified themselves to hold the same. The Comptroller contends that if this is strictly adhered to, it will,

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

9504

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 3 of 19[illegible].

Serial No.	
	result in Juniors superseding their seniors & that in such a big Service, it is not possible to limit the grant of promotions to a few ~~people~~ officials. If these officials who are specially favoured, revert at any time to the general cadre, then they will supersede many of the officials who are their seniors & this will result in much hardship.
1380/3/22	H Sec. 3/1/22
H.W.Co 66-924 / 8/12/24	It does so difficult to keep the two establ Separate the comptr's proposal to treat the Industrial Audit Dept appointments as part of his general establt will have to be approved. It is desirable to make only sub Prolein appointments against the posts sanctioned for Industrial Audit Work and the comptr is requested to state if his ~~Accts~~ proposals require any modification in the Rules. VMC 5/12.24
150/a 8/5/12/24	Comptr & Audr Genl 8/1/25

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 3 of 1921.

Serial No.

U.O.No.165/R dated 12-12-1921

It is no doubt difficult to keep the two establishments different. What was intended by Government is that the appointments sanctioned for the Industrial Audit Department should as far as possible be filled in by men who have qualified themselves in Commercial Accounts. This has been done so far, but when the strict application of this rule results in hardship to senior men of acknowledged merit not so qualified, the rule requires relaxation in their favour. Application for such relaxation ~~should~~ would of course be rare. This is what is proposed by me to be done in the cases now before Government for orders. It will also be noted that in these cases the Superintendents with Commercia qualifications have also the advantage of promotions to a higher stage in the General Scale and have not been denied the advantage that is their due.

Mr. Narasimhachar who is the most senior and who was previously confirmed in the II Grade on Rs200/- in preference to his seniors Messrs. G.S.Natesa Iyer and Mr. Krishnamurti and who was promoted to I Grade (Rs250/-) sub-pro-tem in preference to many others will continue to be in the I Grade sub-pro-tem till 1-1-22 when there will be a permanent vacancy in the I Grade owing to the retirement of Mr. T. Subbaramiah, Assistant Comptroller whose place should in the ordinary course

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

course be filled in by the confirmation of Mr. A. Narasimha Murti who is now sub-pro-tem Assistant Comptroller and Superintendent I Grade. Mr. Narasimhachar may then be confirmed in the I grade without having to lose anything by the confirmation of his seniors in existing vacancies. Similarly Messrs. G. Madhava Rao, V. S. Subba Rao and A.K.S. Raghavachar who are commercially qualified all stand to gain by being promoted one step. The relaxation of the rule in the present cases as suggested by me while not causing anybody any disappointment will, also have the advantage of avoiding hardships which would otherwise result. It is on this account that I have suggested a slight variation in the existing arrangement only in the cases now under consideration. In regard to the Financial Secretary's proposal to make only sub-pro-tem appointments against the posts sanctioned for Industrial Audit Department, if the arrangements now proposed are sanctioned one place on Rs250/- one on Rs200/- and one on Rs125/- will be kept vacant from 1st January next on which date Mr. Subbaramiah retires agreeably to Financial Secretary's suggestion.

2. Reference is made in the Secretariat notes to the relative rank of Messrs. D. Ramanujaiengar and G. V. Srinivasaiengar. To remove any possible misapprehension on this point, I may state here that Mr. G. V. Srinivasa Iyengar passed the Subordinate Accounts Service in January 1920 much earlier than the date

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

Serial No.

date of the Government Order, exempting Mr. D. Ramanujaiengar from passing the Subordinate Accounts Service Examination and admitting him to the grade of Superintendents. This was one of the points discussed in the Gazetted Officers Meeting in this office and settled as indicated above.

3. Messrs. B. Ramanujaiengar and M. K. Sampath Iyengar who are temporary in the Superintendent's grade cannot as pointed out in the Secretariat notes be confirmed till they pass the Subordinate Accounts Service Examination.

4. I may be permitted to urge one other point which may be easily decided in this connection. Mr. K. Narayana Rao V Grade Superintendent who is very nearly 60 years of age and who has put in more than 30 years service is to retire from 1-1-22. He is now sub-pro-tem IV Grade Superintendent on Rs 150/- which appointment he has held from 1-5-20. In view of his long and devoted service and the good work done by him as a Superintendent, I would propose that he may be treated as having held a sub-pro-tem appointment in the IV Grade in vacancy No.4 in the list which is a permanent appointment so that he may have the benefit of counting sub-pro-tem pay for his pension. Mr. G. Madhava Rao or A.K.S. Raghavachar who have to be confirmed in this arrangement according to the list being so confirmed from 1st January 1922, the date of Mr. Narayana Rao's retirement from service

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

9506
8831

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 9A File No. 3 of 1921.

Serial No.

service, I request early orders on this point to enable the Pension Department of my office to sanction pension calculated on his sub-pro-tem pay.

J. Satyanarayana Chetty
Comptroller.

MSR/12-12

The compter's proposals may be approved. A D&R is put up in regard to recommendations requiring the sanction of HH.

Munk
1-1-12-21

PS The proposal in the last para of the Compter's Note of 12-12-21 is for orders. In another case the Compter had recommended that one Mr Lakshmiah might be viewed as having held a permanent appt in an existing vacancy from an earlier date with a view to enable him to earn an increased rate of pension and this recommendation was not approved by the Dewan. The proposal in favour of Mr K. Narayanaiah is of the same nature

Munk
5-1-22

Dewan

The arrangements in this connection is placed

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JAN 6 1922
No. 3645

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

13 I am not in favour of altering sanctioned official arrangements in order to enable retiring officials to draw enhanced pensions. The Comptroller may be requested to refrain from making such recommendations in future.

14 I am also not in favour of removing the restriction that the posts of the Superintendents in the Industrial Audit Section should be held only by officials who are qualified for commercial audit work by passing the prescribed examinations. It should be remembered that the salaries of these Superintendents are debited to the commercial concerns whose accounts are audited and it is only fair that the concerns should not be made to pay for Superintendents who are not doing Industrial Audit work. If this arrangement involves unduly rapid promotion of junior officials, the best course will be to cancel the separate scale of pay provided for the Industrial Audit section and get the audit work done by qualified officials in the general cadre by granting them suitable allowances. This may perhaps reduce the cost of Industrial Audit which is unduly high at present.

15 In para 2 of his unofficial note, the Comptroller seems to imply that the date of the order of exemption determines the order in which claims to promotion should be considered.

Serial No.

considered. I think this reasoning is fallacious. No official who is senior in service should be superseded by a junior merely on the ground that the date of the order exempting the former was later in point of time than the date on which the latter passed the qualifying examination. Para 5 of the G.O. dated 10th December 1918 passing orders on the allocation of the establishment of the Comptroller's Office in the revised scale, fully recognises this principle.

Secy
7.1.22

16

Draft sent to H Secy
9.1.22

17

Draft Subd to H Secy
9.1.22

18

Draft sent
10.1.22

To the Compt.
No. 3488 & 12.1.22

17. Makes certain observations on the Compt's recommendations & calls for revised proposals in the light of the observations.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 3 of 1921.

Serial No. 57

From The Compt.

No. R 1363 dt 3-2-22

Ref. to No. 3488 dt 12.1.22 calling for revised proposals. Submits his opinion re the proposals for the promotion of Supdts. of this office and requests that his original proposals may be sd.

"The proposal in favour of Mr. K. Narayanaiah is similar to Mrs. D. M. Lakshmiah's case in which the Dewan has remarked." The Compt.Aller's recommendation is accepted and sanctioned"

Of the officials who were exempted from passing the S.A.S. exam on the ground of their being over 40 years of age at the time of the organisation only two messrs. K. Ranganadah & D. Ramaiengar remain unprovided with permanent Supdts' places. They have put in over 28 years' Service & are permanent 1st grade Accountants on Rs 100. They are now proposed to be made permanent VI grade Supdts on Rs 100/- Mr. G. V. Srinivasangar has been proposed to be made permanent on Rs 125/- who passed the S.A.S. exam previous to the above were and exempted from passing. The same has

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

put in a Service of 9 years and ~~is~~ is a permanent 3rd grade Accountant on Rs 80/- ~~[illegible]~~

Subd for orders with reference to the Dewan's minute on pages 8 & 9 of the notes.

MKR 9.2.22

LO

VM

As already explained in a similar Case the Comptroller's recommendation in favour of Mr. K Narayan Roa of his office is to treat the period of his S.p.t appointments in the 150 Rs Grade of Supdts in an existing vacancy as Counting for pension under Art 344 of the M.S.R. The Compt^r has applied for formal Sanction of Govt for treating Mr. Narayan Rows S.p.t pay as Counting for pension. This is done by the Compt^r himself in all Cases not relating to his own office appts, which do not present any doubt or difficulty. In the Case of Mr. D.N. Lakshmaiya a recommendation of this kind has been approved by the Dewan. This recommendation may also be approved. In the list of promotions Subd for Sanction Mr. Narayan Roa Comes in for a provisional appointment Rs 150.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 8A. File No. 3 of 1924.

Serial No.

In defence to orders in para 14 above the Comptr has dropped his ~~two~~ alternative proposal in favour of ~~that~~ men in the General Cadre and seeks sanction only to the arrangements made in accordance with the standing orders in the matter of filling up the Industrial Audit Deptt appts. The Comptr proposals (1st alternative) may therefore be approved.

With reference to orders in para 15 above the Comptr explains that the standing orders do not admit of any exemption from examination rules in the case of Deptl appts except those that were permanent on the date of the order viz Dec 1918 and that in rare ~~cases~~ and deserving cases individuals have been specially recommended for exemption which has been sanctd in those cases. In those later cases the dates of Govt orders sanctg such exemptions have been adopted for regulating promotions. If this procedure is approved, the Comptr's recommendations may be sanctioned.

The draft Rules not previously put up here been revised and numbd, the alterations now made being indicated in red ink

1939 12/2 H Dracy) Dewan

mail 11/2/24

mail 15/2/24

X

OFFICE. 13 JUN 1924 4341

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3/32

13

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. 7. File No. 3 of 1921.

Serial No.

4341.

The recommendation in favour of Mr. K. Narayana Rao is approved under the special circumstances pointed out by the Comptroller.

In the case of appointments to the grade of Superintendents, it does not appear right to overlook the claims of senior officials to fill the places their seniority would entitle them to, on the ground that the rules do not permit exemption. Once such an exemption has been recommended and approved by Government, the service of the official should be taken into consideration at the time a vacancy has to be filled up. This is fully provided for in the order in the case of Accountants in whose case exemptions are permissible. But when exmeptions from passing the S.A.S. examination in exceptional cases are given, although it may not be recognised in the order, the same principles for regulating promotions should apply.

3 The Comptroller may be requested to revise his recommendations.

28.2.22

Inform comptr accts

1.3.22

Draft Sent

3.3.22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pt File No. 3 of 19 22

Serial No.

To The Compt.

58 No. H. 4310 d. 9.3.22

Observes that once exemptions from passing the S.A.S. exam. are granted in the case of deserving Official they must get promotions according to their seniority & calls for revised proposals in the light of the observation.

59(a) From the Compt.

No. Est. 1592 d. 23.3.22

States for reasons explained that his original proposals may be sanctioned. Subs with reference to the Dewan's remarks on the previous page.

If it is still the intention of govt. to confirm in V grade the two remaining officials, who have been exempted from passing the S.A.S. examination & who have put in long service, the D.S.R. will be suitably revised & Subs providing at the same time for the two probationers (referred to in para 3 of the P.R.D) in V grade. Subs for orders.

25.3.22

With reference to Dewan's order of 28.2.22 Commicated to

* Page 13 of the Vol.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

Compt^r. he points out in his letter now under disposal that promotns to the grade of Supts in his Office are regulated under Gov. of 10.12.18 and only those that have passed the Subordinate account Service Exn are promoted and in their case promotn is regulated according to the date of passing the examination ~~prescribed under~~ the Gov. of 10.12.18 there is no provision whatsoever for exemptions from passing the S.A.S Examinatn but in the case of Officials who were very old exemptions were as a very special case recommended by Compt^r & approved by Govt. In their cases ~~as the post~~ the Compt^r thinks that promotn should be regulated according to the date of the Govt orders ~~on~~ granting the exemptn:

The promotns recommended are in accordance with the dates of passing the Cp^n in the case of those that have passed ~~in~~ to the date of orders of exemptn in the case of imprisoned men - There is great force in the Comptrollers observation that this

3578 16

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gr. F. File No. 3 of 1924-22

Serial No:

orders cannot be disturbed as these that have ~~have~~ been exempted from passing the examination cannot be in a better position than those that have passed it and as pointed out by Comptr the reckoning of seniority in the case of exempted men will result in the reversal of the promotions already approved by Govt. In these circumstances it is for orders if the recommendations already made in accordance with the usual practice and detailed in the Draft Submission now ~~processed~~ put up may be sanctioned.

As pointed out by the Comptr the claims of the two probationers that have joined the S.D.S. Exn for Confirmation in the Grade of Supdts cannot ~~must not be considered~~ be overlooked. Their appointment was practically to the grade of Supdts ~~and~~ but on probation & when they have qualified themselves by passing the prescribed examination they have to be confirmed in the next vacancy.

28/3

H Srey

2330 31/3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

970

3578

17

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ly. F File No. 3 of 19 24 — 22

Serial No.

I agree with the Comptr. Exempted men are generally superior to passed men and it is not fair that they should get the benefit of exemption from dates prior to those on which exemptions are sanctioned. The Comptr's proposals as in the DSN already portrayed may be appd.

Dewan) 1.4.22

appd. These proposals should hereafter be submitted earlier

1.4.22

P. T. O

1) A fair copy of the D.S.N already portrayed is put up herein shown to Dewan. A sentence has been added to para 2 with reference to recent discussion about exempted men.

2) Sanction to the recommendation in favour of M. K. Narayan Rao as per para 1 of Govt's order of 28.2.22 with the separately communicated

Fe Secy) 5/4/22

May be now issued subject to Dewan) 11-4-22

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on APR 12 1922
Reg. No. 5474

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Correct D.S.N

(Fair draft
for perusal by
Secretary)

Submitted to His Highness.

***

(Please hear this
matter with
Secy
4/4/22)

The Comptroller has proposed the following promotions in the grade of Superintendents of his Office for filling up certain existing vacancies in those grades. -

I. Vice Mr.C.Rajagopaliengar, permanent 2nd grade Superintendent and sub pro tem Assistant Comptroller, retired from 1.7.1921.

Mr.G.S.Natesa Iyer from III to II grade of superintendents.

Mr.K.Subramanyam " 1V - III "

Mr.M.R.Annaji Rao " V - 1V "

Mr.K.Srinivasamurthy Vl - V "

Mr.K.Nanjundiah, Accountant I grade, to Supdt. Vl grade

Mr.K.Sitaramiah " II " Accountant I grade (Rs.100)

II. Against an existing vacancy, in the VI grade of Superintendents - To have effect from 1.7.1921.

Mr.D.Ramanujiengar, Accountant I grade, to Supdt.Vl grade

Mr.K.Ethirajulu Naidu " II " Acctt. I grade (Rs.100)

III. Against an existing vacancy in the I grade of Accountants - from 1.7.1921

Mr.V.S.David, II grade Accountant to be Accountant I grade (Rs.100

1V. Against an existing vacancy in the I grade of Superintendents in the I.A.D.Section-from 1.7.1921.

Mr.A.Narasimhachar from II to I grade of Superintendents

Mr.M.Krishnamurthy " S.P.T. III to II grade of "

Mr.G.Madhava Rao " 1V III " "

Mr.A.K.S.Raghavachar " Tempy. 1V " 1V

V. Vice Mr.D.M.Lakshmiah, 1V grade Superintendent retired ~~from 8.8.1922~~

Mr.V.S.Subba Rao V grade Supdt. to be Supdt.1V grade

Mr.G.V.A.Iyengar (Srinivasa) III " " Acctt . to be Supdt. V "

VI. Vice Mr. H.Murty Rao, I grade Superintendent, retired.

Mr. A.Tolasinga Mudaliar from II to I grade of Superintendents.

Mr. M.P.Bheema Rao " III " II " "

Mr. B.Subba Rao " IV "III " "

Mr. G.N.Ranganatham " V " IV " "

Mr. H.V.Venkatarawa Iyengar, Probationer, to be Superintendant, V grade.

Mr. K.Subramanyam to be provisionally permanent in the II grade of Superintendents, vice Mr. M.P.Bheema Rao on other duty in the Palace.

Mr. V.Naraniengar to be provisionally permanent in the III grade, vice Mr. K.Subramanyam.

Mr. K.Narayana Rao to be provisionally permanent in the IV grade, vice Mr. V.Naraniengar provisionally permanent in the higher grade.

Mr. D. Mahabala Rao, Probationer, to be provisionally permanent in the V grade, vice Mr. K.Narayana Rao above.

2. The proposals are in order and the Comptroller states that the proposed promotions are in accordance with the decisions arrived at in a meeting of all the gazetted officers in his office specially convened for the purpose. Senior men who have been specially exempted from passing the Subordinate Account Service and who are now eligible for promotion to the grade of Supdts are given the benefit of exemption from the date of the order in which the exemptions were sanctioned

3. I approve of the proposals and solicit His Highness' gracious sanction for the same.

Submitted to His Highness.

H & S

The Comptroller has proposed the following promotions in the grades of Superintendents of his office for filling up certain existing vacancies in those grades:

I. Vice Mr.C.Rajagopaliengar, permanent Second Grade Superintendent and sub pro tem Assistant Comptroller, retired from 1st July 1921:

Mr.G.S.Natesa Iyer from III to II grade of Superintendents,

Mr.K.Subramanyam from IV to III grade of Superintendents,

Mr.M.R.Annaji Rao from V to IV grade of Superintendents,

Mr.K.Srinivasamurthy from VI to V grade of Superintendents,

Mr.K.Nanjundiah, Accountant, I grade, to Superintendent, VI grade,

Mr.K.Sitaramiah, Accountant, II grade, to Accountant, I grade (Rs.100).

II. Against an existing vacancy in the VI grade of Superintendents, to have effect from 1st July 1921:

Mr. D.Ramanujiengar, Accountant, I grade, to Superintendent, VI grade,

Mr. K.Ethirajulu Naidu, Accountant, II grade, to Accountant, I grade (Rs.100).

III. Against an existing vacancy in the I grade of Accountants, from 1st July 1921:

Mr. V.S.David, II grade Accountant, to be Accountant, I grade, (Rs.100.)

IV. Against an existing vacancy in the I grade of Superintendents in the I.A.D.Section, from 1st July 1921:

Mr. A.Narasimhachar, from II to I grade of Superintendents,

Mr. M.Krishnamurthy from sub pro tem III to II grade of Superintendents,

Mr. G.Madhava Rao from IV to III grade of Superintendents,

Mr. A.K.S.Raghavachar, from temporary IV to IV grade of Superintendents.

V. Vice Mr. D.M.Lakshmiah, IV grade Superintendent, retired:

Superintendent V 97

Mr. V.S.Subba Rao, III grade Accountant, to be Superintendent, IV grade,

Mr.

Mr. G.V.Sreenivasa Iyengar, III grade Accountant, to be Superintendent, V grade.

VI. Vice Mr. H.Murty Rao, I grade Superintendent, retired:

Mr. A.Tolasinga Mudaliar from II to I grade of Superintendents,

Mr. M.P.Bheema Rao from III to II grade of Superintendents,

Mr. B.Subba Rao from IV to III grade of Superintendents,

Mr. G.N.Ranganatham from V to IV grade of Superintendents,

Mr. H.V.Venkatarama Iyengar, Probationer, to be permanent in the II grade of Superintendents, vice Mr.M.P.Bheema Rao on other duty in the Palace,

Mr. V.Naraniengar to be provisionally permanent in the III grade, vice Mr. K.Subramanyam,

Mr. K.Narayana Rao to be provisionally permanent in the IV grade, vice Mr. V.Naraniengar, provisionally permanent in the higher grade,

Mr. D.Mahabala Rao, Probationer, to be provisionally permanent in the V grade vice Mr. K.Narayana Rao above.

2. The proposals are in order and the Comptroller states that the promotions are in accordance with the decisions arrived at in a meeting of all the gazetted officers in his office specially convened for the purpose. Senior men who have been specially exempted from passing the Subordinate Account Service and who are on that account now eligible for promotion to the grade of Superintendents are given the benefit of exemption only from the date of the order on which the exemptions were sanctioned.

3. I approve of the proposals and solicit His Highness' gracious sanction for the same.

15.4.22

20

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Certain promotions in the grades of Superintendents of the Comptroller's Office for filling up the existing vacancies.

No. 657
15-4-22

812

Order.

~~KRM~~
17/4/22

Immediate copy
4
18.4

Some orders including direction in Dewan's note
dy 15/4/22

Draft. Today please 18/4/22
read
19/4

3578/21

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SA File No. 3 of 1911.

Serial No.	
	Subd with draft for approval MSKR 19.4.22 Some mistakes have somehow crept into the Subdn note. The draft put up is in accordance with Compts' recommdatns intended to be sanctioned - may it issue? mcs 19/4/22
2481/2014	(Secy) yes after consulting Compts to make sure that orders agree with his recommendations, mcs 22/4/22
	To Compt with Compliments. mcs 22/4/22
	The draft order is in accordance with my recommendations. I shall pay my visit Comptroller Rg 22/4/22
	Secy mcs 22/4/22
	To the Compt 60 no H. 4879 & 22.4.22 Orders certain promotions in the grade of Supts. etc. of the compts office

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3578

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.A. File No. 3 of 1924.

Serial No.	
	Draft G.O. Re recommendations in favour of Mr. K. Narayanadas is submd as per marginal remarks on page 17
	MKR 28-4-22 27 Te Secy)
2686/14/3	
	11/5
	15/5/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

16039

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comn. please refer to
No. 836/R 1921
2059

24 NOV 1921

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 23rd ~~XXX~~ Novr. 1921

2506
72
1921 — 1922
67
3-21
28

FROM

T. Satyaraj Chetty, Esq., B.A.,

M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,

Comptroller to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

M. N. Krishna Rao, ..., ESQ., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

.....F.i.n.a.n.c.i.a.l.....*Department.*

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the arrangements proposed by me for filling up certain permanent vacancies in the grades of Superintendents and the I Grade of Accountants (on Rs100/-) The list is in accordance with the decision arrived at in a meeting of all the Gazetted Officers in my office specially convened with a view to discuss the proposals in detail in the light of the estimate formed by the officers concerned of the work of the officials in their charge.

2. It will be seen in the list that there are two alternative proposals for filling up certain vacancies. The reason for the submission of these alternative proposals is that the strict application of the orders of Government conveyed in G.O.No.Fl.4296/G.F.13-19-48 dated 20th January 1920 as regards the appointments comprised in the scale sanctioned for the Industrial Audit Department of my office will result in the claims for promotions of senior men being set aside and those in the Industrial Audit Department who happens to be below the former in the Office Gradation List, preferred for promotion owing to the - Government Order restricting the appointments and promotions to the

WD A 0610—GPB—5,000—10-8-17

C. O. 42-Rec.—17]

.....Department

191 .

FROM

M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
Comptroller to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

.....ESQ.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Dept.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No.....

Subject matter.

in this section alone. Such an arrangement, notwithstanding the fact that the men who are eligible for promotion under the strict application of the Government Order are highly deserving of it, as for instance in the case of Mr. Narasimhachar, who has passed the Higher Departmental Examination prescribed for officers of the Finance Department of the Government of India, results in hardship to the men who are otherwise fully qualified and who hold senior position in the Gradation List. These men are doing very good work and have been anxiously waiting for some chance of advancement but their hopes are still far from realisation owing to the steps taken to absorb all Assistant Commissioners who have completed their training in this office in pursuance of the retrenchment measures ordered by Government and the additional restriction imposed by ~~the~~ Government Order No.Fl.4296/G.F.13-19-48 dated 20th January 1920 has rendered these chances still more remote. The arrangements ~~shown in black ink~~ not underlined are those to be made if the orders referred to are strictly applied in filling up the appointments and those underlined in red ink indicate the modifications proposed to remove the hardship caused to senior men by the strict application of the order. The second alternative will, it may be noted, not prejudicially affect the claims of those that possess Commercial Account qualifications as there is considerable improvement over their present position in the Gradation List while their seniors in the general line are not denied the reward which is their due. As it is necessary that the men should be kept content, I request that, in the interests of the office, my alternative proposals may be favourably considered by Government. In the order referred to Government have directed that the orders conveyed should be in operation till the majority of the Superintendents of this office qualify themselves in Commercial Accounts also. The time has now

STATEMENT SHOWING THE ARRANGEMENTS PROPOSED FOR FILLING UP PERMAN

Serial No.	Particulars of vacancies.	S U P E R I N T E		
		I Grade Rs.250.	II Grade Rs.200.	III Grade R
1.	Vice Mr. C. Rajagopaliengar retired from 1-7-21.	--	G.S.Natesa Iyer	K.Subramanya
2.	Vacant place in VI Grade of Superintendents.	--	--	--
3.	Vavancy in the I Grade of Accountants from 21-7-19.	--	--	--
4.	Vacancy in the I Grade of Superintendets from 21-7-19	A. Narasimhachar (b)	M.Krishnamurti	G.Madhava Ra
		A. Tholasinga Mudaliar. *	do.	B.Subba Rao. *
5.	Vice Mr. D. M. Lakshmaiyya retired from 8-8-1921.	--	--	--
6.	Vice Mr. H. Murthi Rao retired from 1-10-1921.	A.Tholasinga Mudaliar	M.P.Bhima Rao (seconded)	B. Subba Ra
			K.Subramanyam (Provl. Vice above)	V.Narainien (Provl).
		G. S.Natesa Iyer ≠	do. ≠	V.Narainienga (permanent) G. Madhava Ra (Provl).

(a) Has been exempted by Government from passing S.A.S.Examination and has beer
(b) Qualified for IAD. appointments.

* Alternative proposal in the order of seniority. If this arrangement is accep will get Rs.250, 175 and 150 temporary in other vacancies.
≠ Alternative proposal to stand if alternative of 4 above is allowed.

Bangalore,
Dated,21st November 1921.)

NT VACANCIES IN THE GRADES OF SUPERINTENDENTS & I GRADE ACCOUNTANTS.

N D E N T S.				Accountant I Grade Rs.100.
175.	IV. Grade Rs.150.	V Grade Rs.125.	VI Grade Rs.100.	
	M. R. Annaji Rao.	K.Srinivasamurti	K. Nanjundiah (a)	K.Sitaramiah
	--	--	D.Ramanujaiengar (a)	K.Ethirajulu Naidu.
	--	--	--	V. S. David.
)	A.K.S.Raghavachar. (b)	--	--	--
.	G. Madhava Rao *	A.K.S.Raghavachar *	--	--
	V.S.Subba Rao	G.V.Srinivasa-iengar.	---	--
	G.N.Ranganathan	H. V. Venkataram-iengar.	---	--
r	K. Narayan Rao (Provl.)	D. Mahabala Row (provl).		
	≠			
	G.N.Ranganathan (Permanent)	do.		
	A.K.S.Raghavachar (Provl).	do.		

admitted into the Superintendents' Grade.

cable Messrs. A.Narasimhachar.G.Madhava Rao and A.K.S.Raghavachar

V. Satyanarayana

COMPTROLLER.

D2831 Date No 1011

To.

Rajasevadhurina Sirdar

Sir, M. Kantaraj Urs, B.A., K.C.I.E., C.S.I.,

Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dewan's Order
Fin. Secy.
P.O. No. 27/12/21

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,

There have been some vacancies of Superintendents of Accounts in the Comptroller's Office with a pay ranging from Rs.125/- to Rs.250/- and proposals filling up these vacancies have been submitted by the Comptroller for sanction of Government. These are permanent vacancies and the places of last grade Superintendents on Rs.125/- have been recommended to be given to men of very short service on the score that they have passed the Subordinate Accounts Service Examination recently prescribed for the Subordinate Accounts Establishment and the claims of Senior men of long standing who have put in a service of 25 to 30 years and who are permanent 1st Grade Accountants on Rs.100/- and Sub-protem Superintendents on Rs.125/- for the last 3 to 4 years have been entirely ignored. This has caused greatest dissatisfaction and heartburn to the old hands. These senior men have also passed the Account Examinations that were prescribed before the introduction of the Subordinate Account Service above referred to and were thus made eligible for promotion to higher grades and in addition ~~and~~ this distinguished record of service for such a long period of 25 to 30 years is in itself a sufficient ground to show that their practical efficiency has been proved and are therefore more deserving than their younger brethern, the age of some of whom is not equal to the service of many of the seniors. To deprive such senior men of their hard earned promotion in the tail end of their service is most unjust and cruel.

Such unjust supercessions by juniors have been made previous

previous to this and it is not desirable to continue the same now also.

Pray therefore that the claims of senior men be favourably considered at your benign and impartial hands, - before sanctioning the proposals submitted to Government.

Justice.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to——

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 191 .

Date of issue 12 Jan 1922

Signed by M V Ma Ramachandra

Section G 2

File No. 3-21

Serial No 44

No. H 3488 & 12 January 22

To

The Comptr

Sir

With refce to correspondence ending with your note no. 165/R & 12.12.21 on the matter of certain promotions in the grade of Supdts & your office I am directed to state that your recommendation to treat with retrospective effect Sri K. Narayanaraja V grade Supdt as having held a S.P.T. appointment in the IV grade

over.

11/1/22

against
~~as~~ The vacancy in the 1st grade of Supts. in the D.A.D. cannot be sanctioned & to request you to refrain in future from ~~sending up such proposals~~ proposing alterations in sanctioned arrangements in order to enable retiring officials to draw enhanced pensions.

As regards appointments ~~your proposal to~~ in the D.A.D. ~~the~~ relaxation of the rule, hitherto followed, of appointing to such posts Supts. qualified in Commercial Accts. ~~cannot be viewed with favour. It should be remembered that~~ the salaries of these Supts. are debited to the Commercial concerns whose accounts are audited & it is only fair that the concerns should not be made to pay for Supts. who are not doing Departmental Audit work. If this arrangement works unduly rapid promotions of junior officials the best course ~~will be~~ is to get them

Govt are not in favour of your proposal to—

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

cancel the Separate Scale of pay provided for the Industrial Audit Section & get the audit work done by qualified officials in the general cadre by granting them suitable allowances. This will also reduce the cost of Industrial Govt Receipts & Audit which is mostly high at present.

I am also to point out in this Connection that no official who is Senior in Service & who has been exempted from an passing ~~the~~ exam. should be superseded by a junior merely on the ground that the date of the order exempting the former was later than that on which the latter passed the qualifying exam.

Suitable revised proposals in the light of the above remarks may be submitted for the consideration of Govt.

I have etc.

H Secy. 10.1.22

it is not the date of the order of Govt exempting Sanctioning exemptions that should determine the order in which claims to promotion should be considered. Attention is invited to para 5 of the G.O. No. 7427 - G. 792-17-11 dt 16.12.1918 which fully recognises this principle

9.1.

33276

No D 3132/G7 3-21-57

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comm. please refer to
No. R. 1363.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

3rd February 1922.

Dated, Bangalore, the *191* .

FROM

T. Satyaraj Chetty Esq., B.A.,

~~M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.~~,

~~*Offg.*~~ *Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

6 - FEB 1922

To

M. N. Krishna Rao Esq., B.A., ~~Esq.~~,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Financial *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.3488 dated 12th January 1922 returning with remarks certain proposals for promotions in the grade of Superintendents, I have the honor to reply as follows:-

Mr. K. Narayana Rao was recommended for a Sub-pro-tem place on Rs.150/- in a permanent post which has been vacant from 21st July 1919. This appointment does not in any way affect the arrangements already made or sanctioned nor materially interfere with the interests of his seniors in service. I have explained in another connection (Vide this office No.1257/R. dated 10th January 1922 relative to Mr. D. M. Lakshmiah's appointment) why sanction of Government is applied for in this and similar cases and I request that my proposal may be kindly sanctioned.

2. As regards I.A.D. appointments, what I intended to impress upon Government is the impracticability of reserving for ever 3 out of a total of 22 Superintendents' posts in the office for specially qualified Commercial Auditors alone. Government Order No.Fl.4296/G.F 13-19-48 dated 21st January 1920 ruled that the appointments might

be

WD.0322—GPB—3,000—11-12-16

C. O. 42–Rec.—17]

....Department

191 .

FROM

M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,

Offg. Comptroller to the Govt. of

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

.....ESQ.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Dept.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No.....

Subject matter.

be reserved till the majority of them were qualified for the work. The third batch of graduates sent for training to Bombay is shortly to return and I thought the time was ripe for the I.A.D. posts being thrown open to all Superintendents with due regard to their seniority and merit. I may add that I have taken care in making the arrangements to safeguard the interests of specially qualified men and at the same time, to remove as far as possible hardship to Senior Superintendents of acknowledged merit. I may also add that there is no risk of the concerns being overloaded with audit charges, because the distribution is made, not on the basis of the sanctioned scale, but on the pay or average pay of the auditors actually employed for the work, the arrangement being analogous to the distribution of charges on account of audit office among C.P.S., Railways, and Productive Works.

3 As however Government consider that the I.A.D. appointments should be filled in only by the staff employed on Industrial Audit, the alternative proposals indicated in red ink in the statement submitted to Govenment need not be considered and the proposals in black ink which are in conformity with the orders of Government may be kindly sanctioned. As regards special allowances to I.A.D. appointments, the grant of such allowances would create the very distinction which it is the object to remove, among appointments forming part of one cadre in the same office, and I would not therefore commend it for the consideration of Government.

4 With regard to exemptions, the reference to para 5 of the Government Order of 10th December 1918 is not clear. As a matter of fact, this Government Order prohibits altogether any exemption being granted from the Examination rules, the only exemption being those who were permanent Superintendents on the date of the order. Rare and really deserving cases involving clear hardship have accordingly been brought to notice individually and specially sanctioned by Government. The dates of such special orders have been taken for regulating promotions as no other basis is possible.

4

3 In the foregoing circumstances I request that my proposals as indicated in black ink in the statement submitted with my letter No. 836/R/2059 dated 13th November'21 may be sanctioned.

Below

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. Satyanarayana Murty

Comptroller.

G. R. S. VI—6/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*No. of letter replied to*_____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue 9/March/32

Signed by W Mukherjee

Section 24

File No. 3-21

Serial No 58-A

No. H 4310

9/March/32

To

The Comptr

Sir

With reference correspondence ending with your letter No. R. 1363 & 3rd Feb 32 in the matter of certain promotions in the grade of Supts of your Office, I am directed to state that Govt. observe that three exemptions from passing the S. A. S exam have been granted by Govt. over. in the

case of deserving officials the fact that the rules do not permit exemptions should not come in the way of such officials getting promotions, their seniority would entitle them to; I am directed to request you to revise your proposals in the light of the above observation.

I have etc.

for H C Seey

3.3.22

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Item 6: This is fully provided for in the case of Accts where exemptions are permissible. When exemptions from passing the SAS examination in exceptional cases are given. The same principles for regularising promotions should apply

D 3578

G F 3-21-59(a)

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comm. please refer to
No. Esq. 1592

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

23rd March 1922.

Dated, Bangalore, the *191* .

FROM

T. Satyaraj Chetty Esq., B.A.,
~~M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.~~,
~~Offg~~. Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

M. N. Krishna Rao Esq., B.A., ~~Esq.~~,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
F i n a n c i a l *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your No. 4310/G.F.3-21 dated 10th March 1922 regarding the promotions in the grades of Superintendents recommended in my letter No.836 dated 23rd November 1921, I have the honor to point out that para 5 of Government Order No.Fl.2731/G.F. 92-17-11 dated 10th December 1918 makes a clear distinction between the Subordinate Accounts and the Accountants' service in the matter of exemptions from examination rules. For the Subordinate Accounts Service, those permanently appointed to the service on the date of the Government Order were exempted from the examination altogether, while ~~further~~ future promotions had to be restricted to those passing the examination. The Accountants' Examination had, on the other hand, to be passed by all the men selected for the Accountants' place at the time, but I had the discretion to exempt all those that were past the age of 40 on 1st July 1918. It will thus be seen that exemption from the Subordinate Accounts Service Examination of Accountants was not contemplated and was outside the scope of the Government Order.

Special exceptions have been made by Government on my recommendation in favor of the marginally noted Accountants subsequent to December 1918 and in the absence

Mr. K. Narayana Rao
,, L.V.Srinivasaiengar
,, K. Nanjundiah
,, D. Ramanuja Iyengar.

WD.0322—GPB—3,000—14-12-16

C. O. 42–Rec.—17]

....Department

191 .

FROM

M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,

Offg. Comptroller to the Govt. of

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

.....ESQ.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Dept.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No..... ....

Subject matter.

absence of any provision for exemption in the order of re-organization, I have held that the dates of the Special Government Orders should be the only criterion in applying those orders and regulating promotions, and I had accordingly made my recommendations.
If the officials exempted from passing the examination should get promotions which their seniority would entitle them to as observed in your letter, and the places which they would have held if the examination restriction had not been imposed restored to them, it would necessitate the arrangements already made with the approval of Government before their exemption was sanctioned, being reversed, and I have no doubt that such is not the intention of Government.

2. I may further point out that even in the cases of those who are required to pass the examination to entitle them to promotion an official, for instance, who passes the examination in a later year after one or more of his juniors pass the examination can have no claim for the place or places he may have lost by virtue of his having passed the examination subsequently, unless by a general or special rule he is allowed to do so by passing the examination within a specified period. I trust therefore that Government will agree with me that it is not desirable to grant to an official who is specially exempted from passing the examination a concession which is not allowed to those who pass the examination or that the latter should be placed at a more disadvantageous position than the former.

3. In this connection I may also be permitted to state that Messrs. Venkatarama Iyengar and Mahabala Rao, who were transferred from the Education Department as Probationers in 1918 (G.O.No.6890-906 G.M.401-16-22 dated 20th November 1917) for whom I have recommended promotion to the V Grade (125) would, had they continued in the Education Department be drawing Rs.150/- and in view of this fact, their high educational qualifications and their having qualified themselves for the Subordinate Accounts Service by passing the prescribed Departmental Examination, I consider they should be given not less than Rs.125/-, and their claims for promotion in preference to those exempted from passing the examination duly recognized.

4. For the foregoing reasons I once again request that Government may kindly sanction the promotions as recommended in my letter No. R.1363 dated 3rd/14th February 1922.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. Dalyamaylney

Comptroller.

SAM.

19

Proceedings of the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d :-

Correspondence ending with letter No. Est.1592 dated 23rd March 1922 from the - Comptroller requesting sanction of Government for certain promotions in the grade of - Superintendents etc. of his office.

Order No. ~~JE 6827~~ E 73-4-60

Dated Bangalore 24 April 22

The following promotions in the grade of Superintendents etc, are sanctioned with - effect from the date noted against each.

I. Vice Mr.C.Rajagopaliengar, permanent 2nd grade Superintendent and sub pro tem Assistant Comptroller, retired from 1st July 1921.—

Mr.G.S.Natesa Iyer from III to II grade of Superintendents.
Mr.K.Subramanyan from IV to III grade of Superintendents.
Mr.M.R.Annaji Rao from V to IV grade of Superintendents.
Mr.K.Srinivasamurthy from VI to V grade of Superintendents.
Mr.K.Nanjundiah, Accountant I grade, to - Superintendent VI grade.
Mr.K.Sitaramiah, Accountant II grade to Accountant I grade ~~(+Rs.100)~~

II. Against an existing vacancy, in the VI grade of Superintendents To have effect from 1st July 1921.

Mr.D.Ramanujiengar, Accountant I grade to - Superintendent VI grade.
Mr.K.Ethirajulu Naidu, Accountant II grade to Accountant I grade ~~(+Rs.100)~~

III. Against an existing vacancy in the I grade of Accountants from 1st July 1921 to have effect

Mr.V.S.David, II grade Accountant to be - Accountant I grade ~~(+Rs.100)~~

IV. Against an existing vacancy in the I grade of Superintendents in the I.A.D Section- to have effect from 1st July 1921.

Mr.A.Narasimhachar from II to I grade of Superintendents.

Mr.M.Krishnamurthy from Sub pro tem III to II grade of Superintendents.
Mr.G.Madhava Rao from IV to III grade of Superintendents.
Mr. ~~A.K.~~S.Raghavachar from Temporary IV to IV grade of Superintendents.

V. Vice Mr.D.M.Lakshmiah, IV grade Superintendent, retired ~~on leave~~ from 9.8.21

Mr.V.S.Subba Rao V grade Superintendent to be Superintendent IV grade.
Mr.G.V.Sreenivasa Iyengar.III grade Accountant to be Superintendent V grade.

VI. Vice Mr.H.Murty Rao,I grade Superintendent, retired from 1.10.21.

Mr.A.Tolasinga Mudaliar from II to I grade of Superintendents.
Mr.M.P.Bheema Rao from III to II grade of Superintendents.
Mr.B.Subba Rao from IV to III grade of Superintendents.
Mr.G.N.Ranganatham from V to IV grade of Superintendents.
Mr.H,V.Venkatarama Iyengar,Probationer, to be Superintendent, V grade.
Mr.K.Subramanyam to be provisionally permanent in the II grade of Superintendents, Vice Mr.M.P.Bheema Rao on other duty in the Palace.

Mr.V.Naraniengar to be provisionally permanent in the III grade Vice Mr.K.Subramanyam.

Mr.K.Narayana Rao to be provisionally permanent in the IV grade Vice Mr.V.Naraniengar ~~provisionally permanent in the highor grade.~~

Mr.D.Mahabala Rao,Probationer, to be provisionally permanent in the V grade; Vice Mr. K.Narayana Rao ~~absent.~~

The Comptroller is requested to submit ~~in future~~ such proposals in time in future —

Financial Secretary to Govt.

To 19/4

The Comptroller,Mysore.Government.

19.4.22
MK.

G. R. S. A.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 17-3-22

Signed by

M

II — Section G 7

File No. 3-21

Serial No 64

No. H 5179

917 Mdcij/22

To

The Comptr.

Sir

with reff.ce to para 1 of your Lr. No. R. 13653 dt. 3.2.22 requesting sanction of Govt. for treating Mr. K. Narayanaiah as S.P.T. IV grade Supdt. against the I grade post of a Supdt. &c. for the I. A.D. Section with effect from 1.7.19, I am directed to state that Mr. Narayana

over.

Ltr/1615

~~[illegible]~~ Nao may be treated as
S.P.T. IV grade Supdt. in
the vacancy from ~~the~~
1.5.20 – the date on which
he was made IV grade
Supdt. & 1.7.1921 – the date
from which permanent
arrangements in the above
vacancy have been
ordered.

Dhanukh

Mnch 15/5/22
Fin. Secy.

~~Mnch~~ 25.4.22

11/5/22

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by